arXiv:1608.06046v2 [math.RA] 2 Feb 2017

The complete equivalence canonical form of four matrices over an arbitrary division ring[1]

Zhuo-Heng He[a,b], **Qing-Wen Wang**[a,*], **Yang Zhang**[c]

a. Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, P. R. China
b. Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Auburn University, AL 36849-5310, USA
c. Department of Mathematics, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB R3T 2N2, Canada

Abstract: In this paper, we give the complete structures of the equivalence canonical form of four matrices over an arbitrary division ring. As applications, we derive some practical necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability to some systems of generalized Sylvester matrix equations using the ranks of their coefficient matrices. The results of this paper are new and available over the real number field, the complex number field, and the quaternion algebra.

Keywords: Matrix decomposition; Division ring; generalized Sylvester matrix equations; Rank; Hermite matrix
2010 AMS Subject Classifications: 15A21, 15A24, 15B33, 15A03, 15B57

1. Introduction

The singular value decomposition (SVD) is not only a basic approach in matrix theory, but also a powerful technique for solving problems in such diverse applications as signal processing, statistics, system and control theory and psychometrics (e.g., [8], [14], [28], [29], [30], [33]). To solve various problems, people have already extended the SVD method to a set of matrices instead of a single matrix (e.g., [1]-[9], [16]-[18], [20], [21], [22], [32], [34], [35], [47], [51]).

Matrix decomposition over an arbitrary division ring is an important part of matrix theory and division ring theory. Since the commutative law of the multiplication does not hold over an arbitrary division ring, the results of matrix decompositions over an arbitrary division ring have not been more fruitful so far than those over fields. Wang, van der Woude, and Yu [34] proposed an equivalence canonical form of a matrix triplet

$$\begin{array}{c} \\ m \\ s \\ t \end{array} \begin{array}{c} p \\ \begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \\ D \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \tag{1.1}$$

[1]This research was supported by the grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11571220) and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) (RGPIN 312386-2015).

* Corresponding author.

Email address: wqw@t.shu.edu.cn, wqw369@yahoo.com (Q.W. Wang); hzh19871126@126.com (Z.H. He); yang.zhang@umanitoba.ca (Y. Zhang)

over an arbitrary division ring with the same number of columns.

We in this paper investigate the equivalence canonical form of four matrices

$$\begin{array}{c} \\ m \\ s \\ t \end{array}\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} p & q \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & \\ D & \end{pmatrix} \end{array}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $A(m\times p), B(m\times q), C(s\times p)$ and $D(t\times p)$ are arbitrary general matrices over an arbitrary division ring $\mathcal{F}$. In the matrix array (1.2) with four matrices, the matrices A and B have the same number of rows, meanwhile A, C and D have the same number of columns. Note that the matrix triplet (1.1) is a special case of (1.2).

In 2012, Wang, Zhang and van der Woude [35] gave an equivalence canonical form of the general matrix quaternity (1.2) over $\mathcal{F}$. They proved how to find nonsingular matrices M, P, Q, and S such that

$$MAP = S_a, \quad MBQ = S_b, \quad SCP = S_c, \quad TDP = S_d. \tag{1.3}$$

The matrices S_a, S_b, S_c, S_d are quasi-diagonal matrices, their only nonzero blocks being identity matrices (see [35]).

Theorem 1.1. [35] *Consider the equivalence canonical form of the general matrix quaternity (1.2), where $A(m\times p), B(m\times q), C(s\times p)$ and $D(t\times p)$ are arbitrary general matrices over an arbitrary division ring $\mathcal{F}$. Then, there exist nonsingular matrices M, P, Q, S and T such that*

$$MAP = S_a, \quad MBQ = S_b, \quad SCP = S_c, \quad TDP = S_d, \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$S_a = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} r_1 & r_2 & \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}, S_b = \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{rank}(B) \\ \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}, \tag{1.5}$$

$$S_c = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cccccc} r_5 & r_1-r_5 & r_4 & r_2-r_4 & r_3 & \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}, \tag{1.6}$$

$$S_d = (\Delta_4^1, \Delta_4^2), \tag{1.7}$$

$$\Delta_4^1 = \begin{array}{c} \begin{matrix} r_{11} & r_5 - r_{11} & r_{10} & r_{13} & r_{14} & r_\theta & r_{13} & r_9 - r_{13} & r_4 - r_9 \end{matrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \tag{1.8}$$

$$\Delta_4^2 = \begin{array}{c} \begin{matrix} r_8 & r_{12} & r_\pi & r_{12} & r_{14} & r_7 - r_{12} - r_{14} & r_3 - r_7 & r_6 \end{matrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 & I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}, \tag{1.9}$$

$$r_\theta = r_1 - r_5 - r_{10} - r_{13} - r_{14},\ r_\pi = r_2 - r_4 - r_8 - r_{12}, \tag{1.10}$$

$$r_1 = \mathbf{rank}(A,\ B) - \mathbf{rank}(B),\ r_2 = \mathbf{rank}(A) + \mathbf{rank}(B) - \mathbf{rank}(A,\ B), \tag{1.11}$$

$$r_3 = \mathbf{rank}\begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{rank}(A),\ r_4 = \mathbf{rank}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{rank}(A) - \mathbf{rank}(A,\ B) - \mathbf{rank}\begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.12}$$

$$r_5 = \mathbf{rank}(C) + \mathbf{rank}(A,\ B) - \mathbf{rank}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.13}$$

$$r_6 = \mathbf{rank}\begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \\ D \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{rank}\begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \end{pmatrix},\ r_7 = \mathbf{rank}\begin{pmatrix} A \\ D \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{rank}\begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{rank}(A) - \mathbf{rank}\begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \\ D \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.14}$$

$$r_8 + r_9 = \mathbf{rank}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{rank}(A) - \mathbf{rank}\begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{rank}\begin{pmatrix} A \\ D \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.15}$$

$$r_8 + r_{12} = \mathbf{rank}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{rank}\begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{rank}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{rank}\begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \\ D \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.16}$$

$$r_{10} + r_{11} = \mathbf{rank}(A,\ B) + \mathbf{rank}(D) - \mathbf{rank}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.17}$$

$$r_{10} + r_{13} + r_{14} = \mathbf{rank}\begin{pmatrix} C \\ D \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{rank}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{rank}(C) - \mathbf{rank}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.18}$$

The theorem mentioned above is important to matrix theory. However, so far, the explicit expressions of $r_8, r_9, r_{10}, r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}$, and r_{14} have not been given except for giving the relations among $r_8, r_9, r_{10}, r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}$, and r_{14} in [35]. Clearly, we only find the explicit expressions of $r_8, r_9, r_{10}, r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}$, and r_{14}, the equivalence canonical form of the general matrix quaternity (1.2) is complete. The main aim of this paper is to give the complete equivalence canonical form of the matrix quaternity (1.2) in terms of the ranks of the matrices A, B, C, and D. In order to solve this challenging problem, we need to construct some more complicated block matrices and investigate their relationships. Another motivation of this paper is that by the complete equivalence canonical form of the general matrix quaternity (1.2), we investigate some practical solvability conditions for the following two systems of generalized Sylvester-type matrix equations

$$\begin{cases} AXE + BYH &= \Phi, \\ CXF &= \Psi, \\ DXG &= \Omega \end{cases} \tag{1.19}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} AXE + BY + ZH &= \Phi, \\ CXF &= \Psi, \\ DXG &= \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.20}$$

where $A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, \Phi, \Psi$, and Ω are given matrices over an arbitrary division ring. Note that the system (1.19) and (1.20) contain the classical matrix equations

$$\begin{cases} AXE &= \Phi \\ CXF &= \Psi \\ DXG &= \Omega \end{cases} \tag{1.21}$$

as a special case. Some non-interacting control problems can be transformed into the system (1.21) (see, [46]) which was further investigated recently in [19]. Matrix equations have been one of the main topics in matrix theory and its applications. There have been many papers using different approaches to investigate the matrix equations (e.g., [10]-[13], [15], [23]-[27], [31], [36]-[46], [48]-[50], [52]).

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the explicit expressions of $r_8, \ldots, r_{14}$ in Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, as applications of the main results in Section 2, we derive some necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability to the systems (1.19) and (1.20) by using the ranks of the given matrices. The conditions presented in this paper are simpler and practical comparing with the solvability conditions given in [35]. Here we only need to check whether these rank conditions hold or not. Moreover, some solvable conditions are given for Hermitian solutions to some systems of matrix equations over a division ring with an involution.

Throughout this paper, we denote the set of all $m \times n$ matrices over $\mathcal{F}$ by $\mathcal{F}^{m\times n}$, the set of all $n \times n$ invertible matrices over $\mathcal{F}$ by $GL_n(\mathcal{F})$. Let $A \in \mathcal{F}^{m\times n}$, the symbols $I, \mathcal{C}(A), \mathcal{R}(A)$ and $\dim\mathcal{R}(A)$ stand for the identity matrix, the column right space of A, the row left space of A and the dimension of $\mathcal{R}(A)$, respectively. It is well-known that $\dim\mathcal{R}(A) = \dim\mathcal{C}(A)$, which is called the rank of A and denoted by $\mathbf{r}(A)$. The symbol A^* stands for the conjugate transpose of A over an arbitrary division ring with an involutive anti-automorphism "$*$".

2. The explicit expressions of $r_8, \ldots, r_{14}$ in Theorem 1.1

In this Section, we consider the explicit expressions of $r_8, \ldots, r_{14}$ in Theorem 1.1, which is a challenging problem in [35].

Theorem 2.1. *Consider the equivalence canonical form of the general matrix quaternity (1.2), where $A \in \mathcal{F}^{m\times p}, B \in \mathcal{F}^{m\times q}, C \in \mathcal{F}^{s\times p}$ and $D \in \mathcal{F}^{t\times p}$ are arbitrary general matrices over an arbitrary division ring $\mathcal{F}$. Then the explicit expressions of $r_8, \ldots, r_{14}$ that appear in Theorem 1.1 are given by:*

$$r_8 = -\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A \\ D \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & B \\ A & A & 0 \\ C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$r_9 = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & B \\ A & A & 0 \\ C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}(A) - \mathbf{r}(A,\ B),$$

$$r_{10} = -\mathbf{r}(C) - \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & A & B \\ 0 & D & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$r_{11} = \mathbf{r}(C) + \mathbf{r}(D) + \mathbf{r}(A,\ B) - \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & A & B \\ C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$r_{12} = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A \\ D \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \\ D \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & B \\ A & A & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$r_{13} = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ C & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & A & B \\ C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & B \\ A & A & 0 \\ C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$r_{14} = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} C \\ D \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ C & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & B \\ A & A & 0 \\ C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Note that we can not derive the explicit expressions of $r_8, \ldots, r_{14}$ from the equalities in (1.15)-(1.18). In order to solve this problem, we need to construct some more complicated block matrices and investigate some relations. We construct the following three block matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ C & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} A & A & B \\ C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & B \\ A & A & 0 \\ C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.1}$$

We now turn our attention to the ranks of the above three block matrices. We want to give the ranks of these matrices in terms of $r_1, \ldots, r_{14}, r_\pi, r_\theta$. Upon computations, we obtain

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ C & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} = r_3 + 2r_4 + 2r_5 + r_6 + r_7 + 2r_8 + 2r_{10} + r_{12} + 2r_{13} + r_{14} + r_\pi + r_\theta, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & A & B \\ C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \end{pmatrix} =$$
$$\mathbf{r}(B) - r_2 + r_3 + 2r_4 + 2r_5 + r_6 + r_7 + 2r_8 + r_9 + 2r_{10} + r_{12} + r_{13} + r_{14} + r_\pi + r_\theta, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & B \\ A & A & 0 \\ C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\mathbf{r}(B) - r_2 + r_3 + 3r_4 + 2r_5 + r_6 + r_7 + 3r_8 + 2r_{10} + 2r_{12} + 2r_{13} + 2r_{14} + 2r_\pi + 2r_\theta. \tag{2.4}$$

We can derive the explicit expressions of $r_8, \ldots, r_{14}$ via equations (1.10)-(1.18) and (2.2)-(2.4). □

Similarly, we can obtain the corresponding results about the matrix array:

$$\begin{array}{c} \\ p_1 \\ q_1 \end{array}\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} m_1 & s_1 & t_1 \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} E & F & G \\ H & & \end{pmatrix} \end{array}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $E \in \mathcal{F}^{p_1 \times m_1}, F \in \mathcal{F}^{p_1 \times s_1}, G \in \mathcal{F}^{p_1 \times t_1}$, and $H \in \mathcal{F}^{q_1 \times m_1}$.

Theorem 2.2. *Given $E \in \mathcal{F}^{p_1 \times m_1}, F \in \mathcal{F}^{p_1 \times s_1}, G \in \mathcal{F}^{p_1 \times t_1}$, and $H \in \mathcal{F}^{q_1 \times m_1}$. Then, there exist $M_1 \in GL_{m_1}(\mathcal{F})$, $P_1 \in GL_{p_1}(\mathcal{F})$, $Q_1 \in GL_{q_1}(\mathcal{F})$, $S_1 \in GL_{s_1}(\mathcal{F})$, and $T_1 \in GL_{t_1}(\mathcal{F})$ such that*

$$P_1 E M_1 = S_e, \quad P_1 F S_1 = S_f, \quad P_1 G T_1 = S_g, \quad Q_1 H M_1 = S_h, \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$S_e = \begin{array}{c} \\ v_2 \\ v_1 \end{array}\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cccc} v_1 & v_3 & v_2 & \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & I & 0 \\ I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}, \; S_f = \begin{array}{c} \\ v_4 \\ v_5 \\ v_6 \\ v_7 \\ v_8 \end{array}\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cccc} v_4 & v_6 & v_8 & \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}, \; S_h = \begin{array}{c} \\ \mathbf{r}(H) \end{array}\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{r}(H) & \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$S_g = \begin{array}{c} v_9 \\ v_4 - v_9 \\ v_{10} \\ v_{11} \\ v_{12} \\ v_5 - v_{10} - v_{11} - v_{12} \\ v_{11} \\ v_{13} \\ v_6 - v_{11} - v_{13} \\ v_{14} \\ v_{15} \\ v_7 - v_{14} - v_{15} \\ v_{15} \\ v_{12} \\ v_{16} \\ v_8 - v_{15} - v_{12} - v_{16} \\ v_{17} \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$v_1 = \mathbf{r}(E) + \mathbf{r}(H) - \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E \\ H \end{pmatrix}, v_2 = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E \\ H \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{r}(H), v_3 = -\mathbf{r}(E) + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E \\ H \end{pmatrix},$$

$$v_4 = \mathbf{r}(F) + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E \\ H \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E & F \\ H & 0 \end{pmatrix}, v_5 = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E & F \\ H & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{r}(F) - \mathbf{r}(H),$$

$$v_6 = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E & F \\ H & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}(E) - \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E \\ H \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{r}(E,\ F), v_7 = \mathbf{r}(E,\ F) + \mathbf{r}(H) - \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E & F \\ H & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$v_8 = \mathbf{r}(E,\ F) - \mathbf{r}(E),\ v_9 = \mathbf{r}(F) + \mathbf{r}(G) + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E \\ H \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E & F & 0 \\ E & 0 & G \\ H & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$v_{10} = -\mathbf{r}(F) - \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E & G \\ H & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E & F & 0 \\ E & 0 & G \\ H & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$v_{11} = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E & F \\ H & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E & G \\ H & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E & F & 0 \\ E & 0 & G \\ H & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E & F & 0 \\ E & 0 & G \\ H & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & E & F & 0 \\ E & E & 0 & G \\ H & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$v_{12} = \mathbf{r}(F,\ G) - \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E & F & G \\ H & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E & F & 0 \\ E & 0 & G \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & E & F & 0 \\ E & E & 0 & G \\ H & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$v_{13}=\mathbf{r}(E)-\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}E\\H\end{pmatrix}-\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}E&F&0\\E&0&G\end{pmatrix}+\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}E&F&0\\E&0&G\\H&0&0\end{pmatrix},$$

$$v_{14}=-\mathbf{r}(E,\ G)-\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}E&F\\H&0\end{pmatrix}+\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}0&E&F&0\\E&E&0&G\\H&0&0&0\end{pmatrix},$$

$$v_{15}=\mathbf{r}(E,\ F)+\mathbf{r}(E,\ G)-\mathbf{r}(E,\ F,\ G)+\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}E&F&G\\H&0&0\end{pmatrix}-\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}0&E&F&0\\E&E&0&G\\H&0&0&0\end{pmatrix},$$

$$v_{16}=-\mathbf{r}(E)-\mathbf{r}(F,\ G)+\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}E&F&0\\E&0&G\end{pmatrix},\ v_{17}=-\mathbf{r}(E,\ F)+\mathbf{r}(E,\ F,\ G).$$

3. **Solvability conditions for the systems of matrix equations**

One of important applications of the simultaneous decompositions discussed in Section 2 is to investigate some systems of matrix equations. In this section, we consider several systems of generalized Sylvester matrix equations over $\mathcal{F}$. Furthermore, assume that $\mathcal{F}$ has an involutive anti-automorphism "$*$", Hermitian solutions for the systems (3.37), (3.38), (3.43), and (3.44) are discussed.

We first consider the following system of generalized Sylvester matrix equations (1.19) over $\mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 3.1. *The system of matrix equations (1.19) is consistent if and only if the following rank equalities hold:*

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}\Phi&A\\H&0\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{r}(A)+\mathbf{r}(H),\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}\Phi&B\\E&0\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{r}(B)+\mathbf{r}(E),\tag{3.1}$$

$$\mathbf{r}(A,\ B,\ \Phi)=\mathbf{r}(A,\ B),\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}E\\H\\\Phi\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}E\\H\end{pmatrix},\tag{3.2}$$

$$\mathbf{r}(C,\ \Psi)=\mathbf{r}(C),\mathbf{r}(D,\ \Omega)=\mathbf{r}(D),\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}F\\\Psi\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{r}(F),\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}G\\\Omega\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{r}(G),\tag{3.3}$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}\Psi&0&C\\0&-\Omega&D\\F&G&0\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}C\\D\end{pmatrix}+\mathbf{r}(F,\ G),\tag{3.4}$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}0&0&E&F\\A&B&-\Phi&0\\C&0&0&\Psi\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}A&B\\C&0\end{pmatrix}+\mathbf{r}(E,\ F),\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}0&E&F\\0&H&0\\A&-\Phi&0\\C&0&\Psi\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}E&F\\H&0\end{pmatrix}+\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}A\\C\end{pmatrix},\ (3.5)$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}0&0&E&G\\A&B&-\Phi&0\\D&0&0&\Omega\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}A&B\\D&0\end{pmatrix}+\mathbf{r}(E,\ G),\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}0&E&G\\0&H&0\\A&-\Phi&0\\D&0&\Omega\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}E&G\\H&0\end{pmatrix}+\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}A\\D\end{pmatrix},\tag{3.6}$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}0&0&E&F&G\\0&0&H&0&0\\A&A&-\Phi&0&0\\C&0&0&\Psi&0\\0&D&0&0&\Omega\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}E&F&G\\H&0&0\end{pmatrix}+\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}A&A\\C&0\\0&D\end{pmatrix},\tag{3.7}$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}0&0&E&F&0\\0&0&E&0&G\\A&B&-\Phi&0&0\\C&0&0&\Psi&0\\D&0&0&0&\Omega\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}A&B\\C&0\\D&0\end{pmatrix}+\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}E&F&0\\E&0&G\end{pmatrix},\tag{3.8}$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&E&F&G\\A&A&B&-\Phi&0&0\\C&0&0&0&\Psi&0\\0&D&0&0&0&\Omega\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{r}(E,\ F,\ G)+\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}A&A&B\\C&0&0\\0&D&0\end{pmatrix},\tag{3.9}$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}0&E&F&0\\0&E&0&G\\0&H&0&0\\A&-\Phi&0&0\\C&0&\Psi&0\\D&0&0&\Omega\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}A\\C\\D\end{pmatrix}+\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}E&F&0\\E&0&G\\H&0&0\end{pmatrix},\tag{3.10}$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0&0&0&H\\0&0&0&G&0&E&0\\0&0&0&0&F&E&E\\0&C&0&0&-\Psi&0&0\\0&0&D&\Omega&0&0&0\\0&A&A&0&0&0&\Phi\\B&0&A&0&0&-\Phi&0\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}0&A&B\\A&A&0\\C&0&0\\0&D&0\end{pmatrix}+\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}0&E&F&0\\E&E&0&G\\H&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}.\tag{3.11}$$

Proof. $\Longrightarrow$: Let (X_0, Y_0) be a common solution to the system of matrix equations (1.19), that is

$$\begin{cases} AX_0E + BY_0H &= \Phi, \\ CX_0F &= \Psi, \\ DX_0G &= \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

Now we want to show that the rank equalities in (3.1)-(3.11) hold using the matrix equations (3.12) and elementary matrix operations.

- For the rank equalities in (3.1)-(3.3). Observe that

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} \Phi & A \\ H & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}\left[\begin{pmatrix} I & BY_0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} AX_0E + BY_0H & A \\ H & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ X_0E & I \end{pmatrix}\right] = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ H & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}(A) + \mathbf{r}(H).$$

  Similarly, we can prove the other seven rank equalities in (3.1)-(3.3).

- For the rank equalities in (3.4)-(3.10). Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} \Psi & 0 & C \\ 0 & -\Omega & D \\ F & G & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} CX_0F & 0 & C \\ 0 & -DX_0G & D \\ F & G & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{r}\left[\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & -DX_0 \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & C \\ 0 & 0 & D \\ F & G & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 \\ X_0F & 0 & I \end{pmatrix}\right] = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & C \\ 0 & 0 & D \\ F & G & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} C \\ D \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}(F,\ G). \end{aligned}$$

  Similarly, we can prove the other eight rank equalities in (3.4)-(3.10).

- For the rank equality (3.11). Observe that

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0&0&0&0&0&0&H \\ 0&0&0&G&0&E&0 \\ 0&0&0&0&F&E&E \\ 0&C&0&0&-\Psi&0&0 \\ 0&0&D&\Omega&0&0&0 \\ 0&A&A&0&0&0&\Phi \\ B&0&A&0&0&-\Phi&0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0&0&0&0&0&0&H \\ 0&0&0&G&0&E&0 \\ 0&0&0&0&F&E&E \\ 0&C&0&0&-CX_0F&0&0 \\ 0&0&D&DX_0G&0&0&0 \\ 0&A&A&0&0&0&AX_0E+BY_0H \\ B&0&A&0&0&-AX_0E-BY_0H&0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \mathbf{r}\left[\begin{pmatrix} I&0&0&0&0&0&0 \\ 0&I&0&0&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&I&0&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&-CX_0&I&0&0&0 \\ 0&DX_0&0&0&I&0&0 \\ BY_0&0&0&0&0&I&0 \\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&I \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 0&0&0&0&0&0&H \\ 0&0&0&G&0&E&0 \\ 0&0&0&0&F&E&E \\ 0&C&0&0&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&D&0&0&0&0 \\ 0&A&A&0&0&0&0 \\ B&0&A&0&0&0&0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} I&0&0&0&0&-Y_0H&0 \\ 0&I&0&0&0&X_0E&X_0E \\ 0&0&I&0&0&-X_0E&0 \\ 0&0&0&I&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&0&I&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&0&0&I&0 \\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&I \end{pmatrix}\right]$$

$$= \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0&A&B \\ A&A&0 \\ C&0&0 \\ 0&D&0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0&E&F&0 \\ E&E&0&G \\ H&0&0&0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\Longleftarrow$: It follows from Theorem 2.1 and 2.2 that the system of matrix equations (1.19) is equivalent to the system of matrix equations

$$\begin{cases} S_a(P^{-1}XP_1^{-1})S_e + S_b(Q^{-1}YQ_1^{-1})S_h &= M\Phi M_1, \\ S_c(P^{-1}XP_1^{-1})S_f &= S\Psi S_1, \\ S_d(P^{-1}XP_1^{-1})S_g &= T\Omega T_1. \end{cases} \tag{3.13}$$

Put

$$\widehat{X} = P^{-1}XP_1^{-1} := (X_{ij})_{18\times 18}\,, \quad \widehat{Y} := Q^{-1}YQ_1^{-1} = (Y_{ij})_{8\times 8}\,, \tag{3.14}$$

$$M\Phi M_1 := (\Phi_{ij})_{14\times 14}\,, \quad S\Psi S_1 := (\Psi_{ij})_{10\times 10}\,, \quad T\Omega T_1 := (\Omega_{ij})_{10\times 10}\,, \tag{3.15}$$

where the block rows of $(\Phi_{ij})_{14\times 14}\,, (\Psi_{ij})_{10\times 10}\,,$ and $(\Omega_{ij})_{10\times 10}$ are the same as the block rows of S_a, S_c, and S_d, the block columns of $(\Phi_{ij})_{14\times 14}\,, (\Psi_{ij})_{10\times 10}\,,$ and $(\Omega_{ij})_{10\times 10}$ are the same as the block columns of S_e, S_f, and S_g. Then it follows from (2.6), (2.7), (3.14), and (3.15) that

$$S_a\widehat{X}S_e + S_b\widehat{Y}S_h = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\Phi}_1 & \widehat{\Phi}_2 \\ \widehat{\Phi}_3 & \widehat{\Phi}_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_d\widehat{X}S_g = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\Omega}_1 & \widehat{\Omega}_2 \\ \widehat{\Omega}_3 & \widehat{\Omega}_4 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.16}$$

$$S_c\widehat{X}S_f = \begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & X_{12} & X_{17} & X_{18} & X_{19} & X_{1,13} & X_{1,14} & X_{1,15} & X_{1,16} & 0 \\ X_{21} & X_{22} & X_{27} & X_{28} & X_{29} & X_{2,13} & X_{2,14} & X_{2,15} & X_{2,16} & 0 \\ X_{71} & X_{72} & X_{77} & X_{78} & X_{79} & X_{7,13} & X_{7,14} & X_{7,15} & X_{7,16} & 0 \\ X_{81} & X_{82} & X_{87} & X_{88} & X_{89} & X_{8,13} & X_{8,14} & X_{8,15} & X_{8,16} & 0 \\ X_{91} & X_{92} & X_{97} & X_{98} & X_{99} & X_{9,13} & X_{9,14} & X_{9,15} & X_{9,16} & 0 \\ X_{13,1} & X_{13,2} & X_{13,7} & X_{13,8} & X_{13,9} & X_{13,13} & X_{13,14} & X_{13,15} & X_{13,16} & 0 \\ X_{14,1} & X_{14,2} & X_{14,7} & X_{14,8} & X_{14,9} & X_{14,13} & X_{14,14} & X_{14,15} & X_{14,16} & 0 \\ X_{15,1} & X_{15,2} & X_{15,7} & X_{15,8} & X_{15,9} & X_{15,13} & X_{15,14} & X_{15,15} & X_{15,16} & 0 \\ X_{16,1} & X_{16,2} & X_{16,7} & X_{16,8} & X_{16,9} & X_{16,13} & X_{16,14} & X_{16,15} & X_{16,16} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.17}$$

where

$$\widehat{\Phi}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} X_{77}+Y_{11} & X_{78}+Y_{12} & X_{79}+Y_{13} & X_{7,10}+Y_{14} & X_{7,11}+Y_{15} & X_{7,12}+Y_{16} & Y_{17} \\ X_{87}+Y_{21} & X_{88}+Y_{22} & X_{89}+Y_{23} & X_{8,10}+Y_{24} & X_{8,11}+Y_{25} & X_{8,12}+Y_{26} & Y_{27} \\ X_{97}+Y_{31} & X_{98}+Y_{32} & X_{99}+Y_{33} & X_{9,10}+Y_{34} & X_{9,11}+Y_{35} & X_{9,12}+Y_{36} & Y_{37} \\ X_{10,7}+Y_{41} & X_{10,8}+Y_{42} & X_{10,9}+Y_{43} & X_{10,10}+Y_{44} & X_{10,11}+Y_{45} & X_{10,12}+Y_{46} & Y_{47} \\ X_{11,7}+Y_{51} & X_{11,8}+Y_{52} & X_{11,9}+Y_{53} & X_{11,10}+Y_{54} & X_{11,11}+Y_{55} & X_{11,12}+Y_{56} & Y_{57} \\ X_{12,7}+Y_{61} & X_{12,8}+Y_{62} & X_{12,9}+Y_{63} & X_{12,10}+Y_{64} & X_{12,11}+Y_{65} & X_{12,12}+Y_{66} & Y_{45} \\ Y_{71} & Y_{72} & Y_{73} & Y_{74} & Y_{75} & Y_{76} & Y_{77} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.18}$$

$$\widehat{\Phi}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} X_{71} & \cdots & X_{76} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ X_{12,1} & \cdots & X_{12,6} & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \widehat{\Phi}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} X_{17} & \cdots & X_{1,12} & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \\ X_{67} & \cdots & X_{6,12} & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \widehat{\Phi}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & \cdots & X_{16} & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & 0 \\ X_{61} & \cdots & X_{66} & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.19}$$

$$\widehat{\Omega}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & X_{13} & X_{14}+X_{17} & X_{18} & X_{1,11}+X_{1,13} \\ X_{31} & X_{33} & X_{34}+X_{37} & X_{38} & X_{3,11}+X_{3,13} \\ X_{41}+X_{71} & X_{43}+X_{73} & \begin{matrix} X_{44}+X_{74} \\ +X_{47}+X_{77} \end{matrix} & X_{48}+X_{78} & \begin{matrix} X_{4,11}+X_{7,11} \\ +X_{4,13}+X_{7,13} \end{matrix} \\ X_{81} & X_{83} & X_{84}+X_{87} & X_{88} & X_{8,11}+X_{8,13} \\ X_{11,1}+X_{13,1} & X_{11,3}+X_{13,3} & \begin{matrix} X_{11,4}+X_{13,4} \\ +X_{11,7}+X_{13,7} \end{matrix} & X_{11,8}+X_{13,8} & \begin{matrix} X_{11,,11}+X_{13,11} \\ +X_{11,,13}+X_{13,13} \end{matrix} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.20}$$

$$\widehat{\Omega}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} X_{15}+X_{1,14} & X_{1,15} & X_{1,10} & X_{1,17} & 0 \\ X_{35}+X_{3,14} & X_{3,15} & X_{3,10} & X_{3,17} & 0 \\ \begin{matrix} X_{45}+X_{75} \\ +X_{4,14}+X_{7,14} \end{matrix} & X_{4,15}+X_{7,15} & X_{4,10}+X_{7,10} & X_{4,17}+X_{7,17} & 0 \\ X_{85}+X_{8,14} & X_{8,15} & X_{8,10} & X_{8,17} & 0 \\ \begin{matrix} X_{11,5}+X_{13,5} \\ +X_{11,14}+X_{13,14} \end{matrix} & X_{11,15}+X_{13,15} & X_{11,10}+X_{13,10} & X_{11,17}+X_{13,17} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.21}$$

$$\widehat{\Omega}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} X_{51}+X_{14,1} & X_{53}+X_{14,3} & \begin{matrix} X_{54}+X_{14,4} \\ +X_{57}+X_{14,7} \end{matrix} & X_{58}+X_{14,8} & \begin{matrix} X_{5,11}+X_{14,11} \\ +X_{5,13}+X_{14,13} \end{matrix} \\ X_{15,1} & X_{15,3} & X_{15,4}+X_{15,7} & X_{15,8} & X_{15,11}+X_{15,13} \\ X_{10,1} & X_{10,3} & X_{10,4}+X_{10,7} & X_{10,8} & X_{10,11}+X_{10,13} \\ X_{17,1} & X_{17,3} & X_{17,4}+X_{17,7} & X_{17,8} & X_{17,11}+X_{17,13} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.22}$$

$$\widehat{\Omega}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} X_{55}+X_{14,5}+X_{5,14}+X_{14,14} & X_{5,15}+X_{14,15} & X_{5,10}+X_{14,10} & X_{5,17}+X_{14,17} & 0 \\ X_{15,5}+X_{15,14} & X_{15,15} & X_{15,10} & X_{15,17} & 0 \\ X_{10,5}+X_{10,14} & X_{10,15} & X_{10,10} & X_{10,17} & 0 \\ X_{17,5}+X_{17,14} & X_{17,15} & X_{17,10} & X_{17,17} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.23}$$

Upon computations, we obtain that the matrix equations in (3.16) and (3.17) have a common solution if and only if

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{1,14} \\ \Phi_{2,14} \\ \vdots \\ \Phi_{14,14} \end{pmatrix} = 0, \ (\Phi_{14,1}, \Phi_{14,2}, \ldots, \Phi_{14,13}) = 0, \tag{3.24}$$

$$(\Phi_{78}, \Phi_{79}, \ldots, \Phi_{7,13}) = 0, \ \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{87} \\ \Phi_{97} \\ \vdots \\ \Phi_{13,7} \end{pmatrix} = 0, \tag{3.25}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{1,10} \\ \Psi_{2,10} \\ \vdots \\ \Psi_{10,10} \end{pmatrix} = 0,\ (\Psi_{10,1}, \Psi_{10,2}, \ldots, \Psi_{10,9}) = 0, \tag{3.26}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{1,10} \\ \Omega_{2,10} \\ \vdots \\ \Omega_{10,10} \end{pmatrix} = 0,\ (\Omega_{10,1}, \Omega_{10,2}, \ldots, \Omega_{10,9}) = 0, \tag{3.27}$$

$$\Psi_{18} = \Omega_{17}, \Psi_{81} = \Omega_{71}, \Psi_{48} = \Omega_{47}, \Psi_{84} = \Omega_{74}, \Psi_{44} = \Omega_{44}, \Psi_{88} = \Omega_{77}, \tag{3.28}$$

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_{89} = \Psi_{12}, \Phi_{98} = \Psi_{21}, \Phi_{99} = \Psi_{22}, \Phi_{81} = \Psi_{13}, \\ \Phi_{83} = \Psi_{15}, \Phi_{91} = \Psi_{23}, \Phi_{92} = \Psi_{24}, \Phi_{93} = \Psi_{25}, \end{cases} \tag{3.29}$$

$$\Phi_{18} = \Psi_{31}, \Phi_{38} = \Psi_{51}, \Phi_{19} = \Psi_{32}, \Phi_{29} = \Psi_{42}, \Phi_{39} = \Psi_{52}, \tag{3.30}$$

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_{8,10} = \Omega_{12}, \Phi_{10,8} = \Omega_{21}, \Phi_{10,2} = \Omega_{24}, \Phi_{84} = \Omega_{18}, \\ \Phi_{10,4} = \Omega_{28}, \Phi_{10,10} = \Omega_{22}, \Phi_{10,11} + \Phi_{10,1} = \Omega_{23}, \end{cases} \tag{3.31}$$

$$\Phi_{2,10} = \Omega_{42}, \Phi_{48} = \Omega_{81}, \Phi_{4,10} = \Omega_{82}, \Phi_{11,8} + \Phi_{18} = \Omega_{31}, \Phi_{11,10} + \Phi_{1,10} = \Omega_{32}, \tag{3.32}$$

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_{88} = \Psi_{11} = \Omega_{11}, \Phi_{28} = \Psi_{41} = \Omega_{41}, \\ \Phi_{8,11} + \Phi_{81} = \Omega_{13}, \Phi_{2,12} + \Psi_{47} = \Omega_{46}, \Phi_{2,11} + \Psi_{43} = \Omega_{43}, \end{cases} \tag{3.33}$$

$$\Phi_{82} = \Psi_{14} = \Omega_{14}, \Phi_{11,2} + \Psi_{34} = \Omega_{34}, \Phi_{12,2} + \Psi_{74} = \Omega_{64}, \tag{3.34}$$

$$\Phi_{85} + \Psi_{16} = \Omega_{15}, \Phi_{58} + \Psi_{61} = \Omega_{51}, \Phi_{8,12} + \Psi_{17} = \Omega_{16}, \Phi_{12,8} + \Psi_{71} = \Omega_{61}, \tag{3.35}$$

$$\Phi_{1,11} + \Phi_{11,1} + \Phi_{11,11} + \Psi_{33} = \Omega_{33}. \tag{3.36}$$

Now we want to prove that (3.1)-(3.11) $\Longrightarrow$ (3.24)-(3.36). First, we show that (3.1) and (3.2) $\Longrightarrow$ (3.24) and (3.25). Upon computations, we obtain

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} \Phi & A \\ H & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}(A) + \mathbf{r}(H) \Longleftrightarrow \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} (\Phi_{ij})_{14\times 14} & S_a \\ S_h & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}(S_a) + \mathbf{r}(S_h)$$
$$\Longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{78} & \Phi_{79} & \cdots & \Phi_{7,14} \\ \Phi_{14,8} & \Phi_{14,9} & \cdots & \Phi_{14,14} \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} \Phi & B \\ E & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}(B) + \mathbf{r}(E) \Longleftrightarrow \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} (\Phi_{ij})_{14\times 14} & S_b \\ S_e & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}(B) + \mathbf{r}(E) \Longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{87} & \Phi_{8,14} \\ \Phi_{97} & \Phi_{9,14} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \Phi_{14,7} & \Phi_{14,14} \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

$$\mathbf{r}(A,\ B,\ \Phi)=\mathbf{r}(A,\ B)\Longleftrightarrow\mathbf{r}(S_a,S_b,(\Phi_{ij})_{14\times14})=\mathbf{r}(S_a,S_b)\Longrightarrow(\Phi_{14,1},\Phi_{14,2},\cdots,\Phi_{14,14})=0,$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}E\\H\\\Phi\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}E\\H\end{pmatrix}\Longleftrightarrow\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}S_e\\S_h\\(\Phi_{ij})_{14\times14}\end{pmatrix}\Longrightarrow\begin{pmatrix}\Phi_{1,14}\\\Phi_{2,14}\\\vdots\\\Phi_{14,14}\end{pmatrix}=0.$$

Similarly, it can be found that

$$\mathbf{r}(C,\ \Psi)=\mathbf{r}(C),\mathbf{r}(D,\ \Omega)=\mathbf{r}(D),\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}F\\\Psi\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{r}(F),\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}G\\\Omega\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{r}(G)\Longrightarrow(3.26)\text{ and }(3.27),$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}\Psi&0&C\\0&-\Omega&D\\F&G&0\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}C\\D\end{pmatrix}+\mathbf{r}(F,\ G)\Longrightarrow(3.28),$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}0&0&E&F\\A&B&-\Phi&0\\C&0&0&\Psi\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}A&B\\C&0\end{pmatrix}+\mathbf{r}(E,\ F)\Longrightarrow(3.29),$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}0&E&F\\0&H&0\\A&-\Phi&0\\C&0&\Psi\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}E&F\\H&0\end{pmatrix}+\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}A\\C\end{pmatrix}\Longrightarrow(3.30),$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}0&0&E&G\\A&B&-\Phi&0\\D&0&0&\Omega\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}A&B\\D&0\end{pmatrix}+\mathbf{r}(E,\ G)\Longrightarrow(3.31),$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}0&E&G\\0&H&0\\A&-\Phi&0\\D&0&\Omega\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}E&G\\H&0\end{pmatrix}+\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}A\\D\end{pmatrix}\Longrightarrow(3.32),$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}0&0&E&F&G\\0&0&H&0&0\\A&A&-\Phi&0&0\\C&0&0&\Psi&0\\0&D&0&0&\Omega\end{pmatrix}=\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}E&F&G\\H&0&0\end{pmatrix}+\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}A&A\\C&0\\0&D\end{pmatrix}\Longrightarrow(3.33),$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & E & F & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & E & 0 & G \\ A & B & -\Phi & 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 & 0 & \Psi & 0 \\ D & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Omega \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E & F & 0 \\ E & 0 & G \end{pmatrix} \Longrightarrow (3.34),$$

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & E & F & G \\ A & A & B & -\Phi & 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Psi & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Omega \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}(E,\ F,\ G) + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & A & B \\ C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & E & F & 0 \\ 0 & E & 0 & G \\ 0 & H & 0 & 0 \\ A & -\Phi & 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 & \Psi & 0 \\ D & 0 & 0 & \Omega \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \\ D \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E & F & 0 \\ E & 0 & G \\ H & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{cases} \Longrightarrow (3.35),$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & H \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & G & 0 & E & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & F & E & E \\ 0 & C & 0 & 0 & -\Psi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D & \Omega & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & A & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Phi \\ B & 0 & A & 0 & 0 & -\Phi & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & B \\ A & A & 0 \\ C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & E & F & 0 \\ E & E & 0 & G \\ H & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Longrightarrow (3.36).$$

□

Remark 3.2. *Wang, Zhang, and van der Woude* [35] *gave some necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the general solution to (1.19) using the submatrices of* $(\Phi_{ij})_{14\times 14}$, $(\Psi_{ij})_{10\times 10}$, *and* $(\Omega_{ij})_{10\times 10}$. *The conditions presented in Theorem 3.1 are more straightforward than the conditions in* [35]. *We only need to check whether these rank equalities in (3.1)-(3.11) hold or not.*

Next we assume that $\mathcal{F}$ stands for an arbitrary division ring with an involutive anti-automorphism "$*$", and the characteristic of $\mathcal{F} \neq 2$. Now we consider the following two systems:

$$\begin{cases} AXA^* + BYB^* = \Phi, \\ CXC^* = \Psi, \\ DXD^* = \Omega \end{cases} \tag{3.37}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} AXA^* + BYB^* = \Phi, \\ CXD = \Omega \end{cases} \tag{3.38}$$

where X and Y are unknowns and the others are matrices over $\mathcal{F}$ with compatible dimensions.

Theorem 3.3. *Given $A \in \mathcal{F}^{m\times p}, B \in \mathcal{F}^{m\times q}, C \in \mathcal{F}^{s\times p}, D \in \mathcal{F}^{t\times p}, \Phi = \Phi^* \in \mathcal{F}^{m\times m}, \Psi = \Psi^* \in \mathcal{F}^{s\times s}$, and $\Omega = \Omega^* \in \mathcal{F}^{t\times t}$. The system of matrix equations (3.37) has a pair of Hermitian solution $(X, Y) \in \mathcal{F}^{p\times p} \times \mathcal{F}^{q\times q}$ if and only if the ranks satisfy:*

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} \Phi & A \\ B^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}(A) + \mathbf{r}(B), \mathbf{r}(A,\ B,\ \Phi) = \mathbf{r}(A,\ B),$$

$$\mathbf{r}(C,\ \Psi) = \mathbf{r}(C), \mathbf{r}(D,\ \Omega) = \mathbf{r}(D),\ \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} \Psi & 0 & C \\ 0 & -\Omega & D \\ C^* & D^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} C \\ D \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & A^* & C^* \\ A & B & -\Phi & 0 \\ C & 0 & 0 & \Psi \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & A^* & D^* \\ A & B & -\Phi & 0 \\ D & 0 & 0 & \Omega \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A \\ D \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & A^* & C^* & D^* \\ 0 & 0 & B^* & 0 & 0 \\ A & A & -\Phi & 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 & 0 & \Psi & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 & 0 & \Omega \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ C & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & A^* & C^* & D^* \\ A & A & B & -\Phi & 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Psi & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Omega \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \\ D \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & A & B \\ C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & B^* \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & D^* & 0 & A^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C^* & A^* & A^* \\ 0 & C & 0 & 0 & -\Psi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D & \Omega & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & A & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Phi \\ B & 0 & A & 0 & 0 & -\Phi & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & B \\ A & A & 0 \\ C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. We first prove that the system of matrix equations (3.37) has a pair of Hermitian solution $(X, Y) \in \mathcal{F}^{p\times p} \times \mathcal{F}^{q\times q}$ if and only if the system of matrix equations

$$\begin{cases} A\widetilde{X}A^* + B\widetilde{Y}B^* &= \Phi, \\ C\widetilde{X}C^* &= \Psi, \\ D\widetilde{X}D^* &= \Omega \end{cases} \tag{3.39}$$

has a solution. If the system (3.37) has a pair of Hermitian solution, say, (X_0, Y_0), then the system (3.39) clearly has a solution $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}) = (X_0, Y_0)$. Conversely, if the system (3.39) has a solution $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y})$, then

$$(X, Y) = \Big(\frac{\widetilde{X} + \widetilde{X}^*}{2}, \frac{\widetilde{Y} + \widetilde{Y}^*}{2}\Big)$$

is a pair Hermitian solution of (3.37). We can derive the solvability conditions to the system of matrix equations (3.37) by Theorem 3.1. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let $A \in \mathcal{F}^{m\times p}, B \in \mathcal{F}^{m\times q}, C \in \mathcal{F}^{s\times p}, D \in \mathcal{F}^{p\times t}, \Phi = \Phi^* \in \mathcal{F}^{m\times m}$, and $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}^{s\times t}$ be given. The system of matrix equations (3.38) has a pair of Hermitian solution $(X, Y) \in \mathcal{F}^{p\times p} \times \mathcal{F}^{q\times q}$ if and only if the ranks satisfy:*

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} \Phi & A \\ B^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}(A) + \mathbf{r}(B), \mathbf{r}(A,\ B,\ \Phi) = \mathbf{r}(A,\ B),$$

$$\mathbf{r}(C,\ \Omega) = \mathbf{r}(C), \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} D \\ \Omega \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}(D), \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} \Omega & 0 & C \\ 0 & -\Omega^* & D^* \\ D & C^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} C \\ D^* \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & A^* & D \\ A & B & -\Phi & 0 \\ C & 0 & 0 & \Omega \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}(A^*,\ D),$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A^* & D \\ 0 & B^* & 0 \\ A & -\Phi & 0 \\ C & 0 & \Omega \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A^* & D \\ B^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & A^* & D & C^* \\ 0 & 0 & B^* & 0 & 0 \\ A & A & -\Phi & 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 & 0 & \Omega & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 & 0 & \Omega^* \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \\ D^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ C & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & A^* & D & C^* \\ A & A & B & -\Phi & 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Omega & 0 \\ 0 & D^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Omega^* \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \\ D^* \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & A & B \\ C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D^* & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & B^* \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & C^* & 0 & A^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & D & A^* & A^* \\ 0 & C & 0 & 0 & -\Omega & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D^* & \Omega^* & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & A & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Phi \\ B & 0 & A & 0 & 0 & -\Phi & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & B \\ A & A & 0 \\ C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3. □

In the last part, we investigate another type of generalized Sylvester matrix equations (1.20).

Theorem 3.5. *The system of matrix equations (1.20) is consistent if and only if the ranks satisfy:*

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} \Phi & A & B \\ H & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}(A,\ B) + \mathbf{r}(H), \mathbf{r}(C,\ \Psi) = \mathbf{r}(C), \mathbf{r}(D,\ \Omega) = \mathbf{r}(D),$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} \Phi & B \\ E & 0 \\ H & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}(B) + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E \\ H \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} F \\ \Psi \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}(F), \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} G \\ \Omega \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}(G),$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} \Phi & 0 & A & B \\ 0 & -\Psi & C & 0 \\ E & F & 0 & 0 \\ H & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E & F \\ H & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} \Phi & 0 & A & B \\ 0 & -\Omega & D & 0 \\ E & G & 0 & 0 \\ H & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E & G \\ H & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} \Psi & 0 & C \\ 0 & -\Omega & D \\ F & G & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} C \\ D \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}(F,\ G),$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} \Phi & 0 & 0 & A & A & B \\ 0 & -\Psi & 0 & C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\Omega & 0 & D & 0 \\ E & F & G & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ H & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & A & B \\ C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} E & F & G \\ H & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}\Phi & 0 & 0 & A & B\\ 0 & -\Psi & 0 & C & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -\Omega & D & 0\\ E & F & 0 & 0 & 0\\ E & 0 & G & 0 & 0\\ H & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}A & B\\ C & 0\\ D & 0\end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}E & F & 0\\ E & 0 & G\\ H & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 that the system of matrix equations (1.20) is equivalent to the system of matrix equations

$$\begin{cases} S_a(P^{-1}XP_1^{-1})S_e + S_b(Q^{-1}YM_1) + (MZQ_1^{-1})S_h &= M\Phi M_1,\\ S_c(P^{-1}XP_1^{-1})S_f &= S\Psi S_1,\\ S_d(P^{-1}XP_1^{-1})S_g &= T\Omega T_1. \end{cases} \tag{3.40}$$

Put

$$\widehat{X} = P^{-1}XP_1^{-1} := (X_{ij})_{18\times 18},\ \widehat{Y} := Q^{-1}YM_1 = (Y_{ij})_{8\times 14},\ \widehat{Z} := MZQ_1^{-1} = (Z_{ij})_{14\times 8}, \tag{3.41}$$

$$M\Phi M_1 := (\Phi_{ij})_{14\times 14},\quad S\Psi S_1 := (\Psi_{ij})_{10\times 10},\quad T\Omega T_1 := (\Omega_{ij})_{10\times 10}, \tag{3.42}$$

where the block rows of $(\Phi_{ij})_{14\times 14}, (\Psi_{ij})_{10\times 10}$, and $(\Omega_{ij})_{10\times 10}$ are the same as the block rows of S_a, S_c, and S_d, the block columns of $(\Phi_{ij})_{14\times 14}, (\Psi_{ij})_{10\times 10}$, and $(\Omega_{ij})_{10\times 10}$ are the same as the block columns of S_e, S_f, and S_g. Using the similar approach in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can obtain the solvability conditions to the system of matrix equations (1.20). □

As corollaries of Theorem 3.5, we give the solvability conditions to the following systems over $\mathcal{F}$ with an involutive anti-automorphism "$*$" and the characteristic of $\mathcal{F} \neq 2$:

$$\begin{cases} AXA^* + BY + (BY)^* = \Phi,\\ CXC^* = \Psi,\ DXD^* = \Omega,\ X = X^* \end{cases} \tag{3.43}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} AXA^* + BY + (BY)^* = \Phi,\\ CXD = \Omega,\ X = X^* \end{cases} \tag{3.44}$$

where X and Y are unknowns and the others are matrices over $\mathcal{F}$ with compatible dimensions.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $A \in \mathcal{F}^{m\times p}, B \in \mathcal{F}^{m\times q}, C \in \mathcal{F}^{s\times p}, D \in \mathcal{F}^{t\times p}, \Phi = \Phi^* \in \mathcal{F}^{m\times m}, \Psi = \Psi^* \in \mathcal{F}^{s\times s}$, and $\Omega = \Omega^* \in \mathcal{F}^{t\times t}$ be given. The system of matrix equations (3.43) is consistent if and only if the ranks satisfy:*

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}\Phi & A & B\\ B^* & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}(A,\ B) + \mathbf{r}(B),\ \mathbf{r}(C,\ \Psi) = \mathbf{r}(C),\ \mathbf{r}(D,\ \Omega) = \mathbf{r}(D),$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}\Phi & 0 & A & B\\ 0 & -\Psi & C & 0\\ A^* & C^* & 0 & 0\\ B^* & 0 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix} = 2\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}A & B\\ C & 0\end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}\Phi & 0 & A & B\\ 0 & -\Omega & D & 0\\ A^* & D^* & 0 & 0\\ B^* & 0 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix} = 2\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}A & B\\ D & 0\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}\Psi & 0 & C\\ 0 & -\Omega & D\\ C^* & D^* & 0\end{pmatrix} = 2\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}C\\ D\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}\Phi & 0 & 0 & A & A & B\\ 0 & -\Psi & 0 & C & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -\Omega & 0 & D & 0\\ A^* & C^* & D^* & 0 & 0 & 0\\ B^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}A & A & B\\ C & 0 & 0\\ 0 & D & 0\end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}A & B\\ C & 0\\ D & 0\end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. We first prove that the system of matrix equations (3.43) is consistent if and only if the system of matrix equations

$$\begin{cases} A\widetilde{X}A^* + B\widetilde{Y} + \widetilde{Z}B^* = \Phi, \\ C\widetilde{X}C^* = \Psi, \\ D\widetilde{X}D^* = \Omega \end{cases} \tag{3.45}$$

has a solution. If the system (3.43) has a solution, say, (X_0, Y_0), then the system (3.45) clearly has a solution $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{Z}) = (X_0, Y_0, Y_0^*)$. Conversely, if the system (3.45) has a solution $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{Z})$, then

$$(X, Y) = \Big(\frac{\widetilde{X} + \widetilde{X}^*}{2}, \frac{\widetilde{Y} + \widetilde{Z}^*}{2}\Big)$$

is a solution of (3.43). We can derive the solvability conditions to the system of matrix equations (3.43) by Theorem 3.5.

□

Similarly, we can give the solvability conditions to the system of matrix equations (3.44) as follows.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $A \in \mathcal{F}^{m\times p}, B \in \mathcal{F}^{m\times q}, C \in \mathcal{F}^{s\times p}, D \in \mathcal{F}^{p\times t}, \Phi = \Phi^* \in \mathcal{F}^{m\times m}$, and $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}^{s\times t}$ be given. The system of matrix equations (3.44) is consistent if and only if the ranks satisfy:*

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}\Phi & A & B\\ B^* & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}(A,\ B) + \mathbf{r}(B), \mathbf{r}(C,\ \Omega) = \mathbf{r}(C), \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}D\\ \Omega\end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}(D),$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}\Phi & 0 & A & B\\ 0 & -\Omega & C & 0\\ A^* & D & 0 & 0\\ B^* & 0 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}A & B\\ C & 0\end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}A & B\\ D^* & 0\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}\Omega & 0 & C\\ 0 & -\Omega^* & D^*\\ D & C^* & 0\end{pmatrix} = 2\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix}C\\ D^*\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} \Phi & 0 & 0 & A & A & B \\ 0 & -\Omega & 0 & C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\Omega^* & 0 & D^* & 0 \\ A^* & D & C^* & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & A & B \\ C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{r}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \\ D^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

4. **Conclusion**

We have presented all the dimensions of identity matrices in the equivalence canonical form of four matrices over an arbitrary division ring $\mathcal{F}$ with compatible sizes: $A \in \mathcal{F}^{m\times p}, B \in \mathcal{F}^{m\times q}, C \in \mathcal{F}^{s\times p}, D \in \mathcal{F}^{t\times p}$, which is a challenging problem proposed in [35]. Using the complete equivalence canonical form, we have derived some necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the general solutions to the systems (1.19), (1.20), and (3.37)-(3.44) in terms of ranks of the given matrices.

Note that the real number field, the complex number field, and the real quaternion algebra are special cases of an arbitrary division ring $\mathcal{F}$. Hence, all the results in this paper are also valid over the real number field, the complex number field and the real quaternion algebra.

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the referee for his/her constructive and valuable comments and suggestions which greatly improve this paper.

References

[1] D.L. Chu, B.De Moor, On a variational formulation of the QSVD and the RSVD, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 311 (2000) 61–78.

[2] D.L Chu, L. De Lathauwer, B. De Moor, On the computation of the restricted singular value decomposition via the cosine-sine decomposition, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 22 (2000) 580-601.

[3] D.L Chu, L. De Lathauwer, B. De Moor, A QR-type reduction for computing the SVD of a general matrix product/quotient, *Numer. Math.* 95 (2) (2003) 101–121.

[4] M.T. Chu, R.E. Funderlic, G.H. Golub, On a variational formulation of the generalized singular value decomposition, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 18 (1997) 1082C1092.

[5] B. De Moor, H.Y. Zha, A tree of generalization of the ordinary singular value decomposition, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 147 (1991) 469–500.

[6] B. De Moor, G.H. Golub, The restricted singular value decomposition: properties and applications, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 12 (3) (1991) 401–425.

[7] B. De Moor, P.V. Dooren, Generalizations of the singular value and QR decompositions, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 13 (4) (1992) 993–1014.

[8] B. De Moor, M. Moonen, Eds., SVD and Signal Processing, III. *Algorithms, Applications and Architectures*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1995.

[9] B. De Moor, On the structure of generalized singular value and QR decompositions, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 15 (1) (1994) 347–358.

[10] F. De Terán, F.M. Dopico, N. Guillery, D. Montealegre, N. Reyes, The solution of the equation $AX + X^*B = 0$, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 438 (7) (2013) 2817–2860.

[11] F. De Terán, The solution of the equation $AX + BX^* = 0$, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 61 (12) (2013) 1605–1628.

[12] M. Dehghan, M. Hajarian, The general coupled matrix equations over generalized bisymmetric matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 432 (6)(2010) 1531–1552.

[13] A. Dmytryshyn, B. Kågström, Coupled Sylvester-type matrix equations and block diagonalization, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 36 (2)(2015) 580–593.

[14] E.F. Deprettere, Ed., SVD and Signal Processing, *Algorithms, Applications and Architectures*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1988.

[15] X.F. Duan, A.P. Liao, On the existence of Hermitian positive definite solutions of the matrix equation $X^s + A^*X^{-t}A = Q$, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 429 (2008) 673–687.

[16] K. V. Fernando, S. J. Hammarling, A product induced singular value decomposition for two matrices and balanced realisation, in Linear Algebra in Signal Systems and Control, B. N. Datta, C. R. Johnson, M. A. Kaashoek, R. Plemmons, and E. Sontag, eds., Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA, 1988, pp. 128-140.

[17] W.H. Gustafson, Quivers and matrix equations, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 231 (1995) 159–174.

[18] M. T. Heath, A. J. Laub, C. C. Paige, R. C. Ward, Computing the singular value decomposition of a product of two matrices, *SIAM J. Sci. Statist. Comput.* 7 (1986) 1147-1159.

[19] Z.H. He, Q.W. Wang, The general solutions to some systems of matrix equations, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 63 (10) (2015) 2017-2032.

[20] Z.H. He, Q.W. Wang, A simultaneous decomposition of seven matrices over real quaternion algebra and its applications, arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1453.

[21] Z.H. He, O.M. Agudelo, Q.W. Wang, B. De Moor, Two-sided coupled generalized Sylvester matrix equations solving using a simultaneous decomposition for fifteen matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 496 (2016) 549-593.

[22] Z.H. He, Q.W. Wang, Y. Zhang, Simultaneous decomposition of quaternion matrices involving η-Hermicity with applications, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 298 (2017) 13–35.

[23] Z.H. He, Q.W. Wang, A real quaternion matrix equation with with applications, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 61 (2013) 725–740.

[24] Z.H. He, Q.W. Wang, The η-bihermitian solution to a system of real quaternion matrix equations, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 62 (2014) 1509–1528.

[25] Z.H. He, Q.W. Wang, Solutions to optimization problems on ranks and inertias of a matrix function with applications, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 219 (2012) 2989–3001.

[26] Z.H. He, Q.W. Wang, A system of periodic discrete-time coupled Sylvester quaternion matrix equations, *Algebra Colloq.* **24** (2017) 169–180.

[27] B. Kågström, L. Westin, Generalized Schur methods with condition estimators for solving the generalized Sylvester equation, *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control.* 34 (7) (1989) 745–751.

[28] C.H. Lee, B.O. Alpert, P. Sankaranarayanan, O. Alter, GSVD comparison of patient-matched normal and tumor aCGH profiles reveals global copy-number alterations predicting glioblastoma multiforme survival, *PLoS One.* 7 (1) (2012) e30098.

[29] A. Orly, P.O. Brown, D. Botstein, Generalized singular value decomposition for comparative analysis of genome-scale expression data sets of two different organisms, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100 (6) (2003) 3351-3356.

[30] A. Orly, G.H. Golub, Singular value decomposition of genome-scale mRNA lengths distribution reveals asymmetry in RNA gel electrophoresis band broadening, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103 (32) (2006) 11828-11833.

[31] A.B. Özgüler, The matrix equation $AXB + CYD = E$ over a pricipal ideal domain, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 12 (1991) 581–591.

[32] C.C. Paige, M.A. Saunders, Towards a generalized singular value decomposition, *SIAM J. Number. Anal.* 18 (1981) 398–405.

[33] S.P. Ponnapalli, M.A. Saunders, C.F. Van Loan, O. Alter, A higher-order generalized singular value decomposition for comparison of global mRNA expression from multiple organisms, *PloS one.* 6 (12) (2011) e28072.

[34] Q.W. Wang, J.W. van der Woude, S.W. Yu, An equivalence canonical form of a matrix triplet over an arbitrary division ring with applications, *Sci. China Math.* 54 (5)(2011) 907–924.

[35] Q.W. Wang, X. Zhang, J.W. van der Woude, A new simultaneous decomposition of a matrix quaternity over an arbitrary division ring with applications, *Comm. Algebra*. 40 (2012) 2309-2342.

[36] Q.W. Wang, Z.H. He, Some matrix equations with applications, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 60 (2012) 1327–1353.

[37] Q.W. Wang, Z.H. He, Solvability conditions and general solution for the mixed Sylvester equations, *Automatica* 49 (2013) 2713–2719.

[38] Q.W. Wang, Z.H. He, Systems of coupled generalized Sylvester matrix equations, *Automatica* 50 (2014) 2840–2844.

[39] Q.W. Wang, J.H. Sun, S.Z. Li, Consistency for bi(skew)symmetric solutions to systems of generalized Sylvester equations over a finite central algebra, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 353 (2002) 169-182.

[40] Q.W. Wang, A system of matrix equations and a linear matrix equation over arbitrary regular rings with identity, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 384 (2004) 43–54.

[41] Q.W. Wang, The general solution to a system of real quaternion matrix equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 49 (2005) 665–675.

[42] Q.W. Wang, Bisymmetric and centrosymmetric solutions to system of real quaternion matrix equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 49 (2005) 641–650.

[43] Q.W. Wang, H.X. Chang, C.Y. Lin, P-(skew)symmetric common solutions to a pair of quaternion matrix equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 195 (2008) 721–732.

[44] Q.W. Wang, J.W. van der Woude, H.X. Chang, A system of real quaternion matrix equations with applications, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 431 (2009) 2291–2303.

[45] H.K. Wimmer, Consistency of a pair of generalized Sylvester equations. *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control.* 39(1994) 1014-1016.

[46] J.W. van der Woude, Almost noninteracting control by measurement feedback, *Systems Control Lett.* 9 (1987) 7–16.

[47] C.F. van Loan, Generalizing the singular value decomposition, *SIAM J Number Anal.* 13 (1976) 76–83.

[48] D.X. Xie, L. Zhang, Least-squares solutions of $X^TAX = B$ over positive semidefinite matrixes A, *J. Comput. Math.* 21 (2003) 167–174.

[49] Q.X. Xu, Common Hermitian and positive solutions to the adjointable operator equations $AX = C, XB = D$, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 429 (2008) 1–11.

[50] S.F. Yuan, A.P. Liao, Y. Lei, Least squares Hermitian solution of the matrix equation $(AXB, CXD) = (E, F)$ with the least norm over the skew field of quaternions, *Math. Comput. Modelling.* 48 (1-2)(2008) 91–100.

[51] H.Y. Zha, The restricted singular value decomposition of matrix triplets, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 12 (1991) 172–194.

[52] Y. Zhang, R.H. Wang, The exact solution of a system of quaternion matrix equations involving η-Hermicity, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 222 (2013) 201–209.